

RICHARD B. GOETZ (State Bar No. 115666)
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
E-Mail: rgoetz@omm.com

ROBERTA H. VESPREMI (State Bar No. 225067)
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
2765 Sand Hill Road
Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: (650) 473-2600
Facsimile: (650) 473-2601
E-Mail: rvespremi@omm.com

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

KATHRYN G. SPELMAN (State Bar No. 154512)
DANIEL H. FINGERMAN (State Bar No. 229683)
MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
333 West San Carlos Street
San Jose, California 95110
Telephone: (408) 279-7000
Facsimile: (408) 998-1473
E-Mail: kspelman@mount.com
dfingerman@mount.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

Case No. 5:10-cv-00966-JF

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANT
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.'S TIME TO
ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff San Francisco Technology Inc.
2 (“plaintiff”) and defendant McNeil-PPC, Inc. (“defendant”), by and through their counsel of
3 record as follows:

4 WHEREAS plaintiff filed its Complaint on March 5, 2010, and defendant was served on
5 March 18, 2010;

6 WHEREAS the current deadline for defendant to answer, move or otherwise respond to
7 the Complaint is April 7, 2010;

8 WHEREAS defendant has not previously sought to extend its time to answer, move or
9 otherwise respond to the Complaint;

10 WHEREAS because defendant’s counsel requires additional time to adequately
11 investigate the pertinent facts and applicable law, and to determine how to appropriately respond
12 to the Complaint, the plaintiff and defendant respectfully request the Court to extend the
13 defendant’s time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint to May 14, 2010.

14 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the undersigned parties hereby stipulate
15 as follows: Defendant’s last day to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint is May
16 14, 2010.

17 SO STIPULATED.

18 Dated: March 24, 2010

O’MELVENY & MYERS LLP

19 By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
20 Roberta H. Vespremi

21 Attorneys for Defendant
22 MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

23 Dated: March 24, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

24 By: /s/ Daniel H. Fingerman
25 Daniel H. Fingerman

26 Attorneys for Plaintiff
27 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.
28

CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE

I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel for plaintiff, Daniel H. Fingerman.

Dated: March 24, 2010

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
Roberta H. Vespremi

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 26, 2010



The Honorable Jeremy D. Fogel
United States District Judge

MP1:1191666.1

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies that on March 24, 2010, the foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, using the court's electronic filing system (ECF), in compliance with Civil L.R. 5-4 and General Order 45. The ECF system serves a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to all parties and counsel who have appeared in this action, who have consented under Civil L.R. 5-5 and General Order 45 to accept that Notice as service of this document.

Date: March 24, 2010

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
Roberta H. Vespremi

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.